



### Barnstable WRAC

# Wastewater Planning Update

#### Department of Public Works May 17, 2017



# WRAC Proposed Timeline



- ✓ June 2016 Complete Bookends
- ✓ Fall 2016
  - ✓ Complete Gap filling
  - ✓ Complete GIS Mapping Layers
- ✓ Winter 2016 through Spring 2017 Plan Construction
- Summer 2017 Complete Draft Plan
- Fall 2017 Financial Subcommittee efforts
- Winter 2017/18 Update Town Council on Draft Plan
- Winter and Spring 2018 Public Outreach and Feedback
- Summer 2018 update plan
- Fall 2018 Present "Final Draft" Plan to Town Council
- Winter 2018 Submit Final Draft to CCC and Regulatory Agencies for review







- Problem Statement
- Nontraditional Solutions
- Traditional Solutions
- WPCF Limitations
- Phasing
- Costs
- Next Steps
- Discussion





## The Problem



- Wastewater issues
  - Impaired Embayments
  - Groundwater quality concerns
  - Pond water quality concerns
  - Failing/expensive septic systems
  - Economic development requirements
  - New flood zones
  - Regulatory requirements





# **Primary Regulation**



- Massachusetts Estuaries Program (MEP)
- Collaboration between
  - Massachusetts DEP
  - UMASS-Dartmouth, School for Marine Science and Technology ("SMAST").
- Watershed/estuary model
  - predicts water quality changes resulting from land use decision





# **Primary Regulation**



- DEP develops TMDLs
  - Total Maximum Daily Loads
  - Max pollutant a water body can receive and still meet water quality standards
  - "pollutant budget"
- Eelgrass is the sentinel species
- Cape Divided by watersheds
  - Not geo-political boundaries





### Average N Removal by Watershed







# Subembayment N Removal



SubEmbayment by Watershed	Total Attenuated Controllable N Load (from Barnstable) (kg/yr)	Target (kg/yr)	N Load Reduction Required (by Barnstable) (kg/yr)	% N Reduction Required	
Barnstable Harbor Watershed					
Barnstable Harbor*	29,963	27,418	7,491	25%	
Centerville River Watershed					
Centerville River East	19,236	9,022	10,214	53%	
Centerville River West	3,004	3,454	0	0%	
East Bay	2,863	3,149	0	0%	
Scudder Bay	16,235	19,208	0	0%	
Lewis Bay Watershed					
Halls Creek	7,317	13,236	0	0%	
Hyannis Inner Harbor	5,722	2,716	4,098	72%	
Lewis Bay	3,613	3,527	2,737	76%	
Mill Creek	2,085	8,154	660	32%	
Snows Creek	3,535	5,925	0	0%	
Stewarts Creek	18,725	15,186	0	0%	
Popponesset Bay Watershed					
Pinquickset Cove	344	277	67	19%	
Popponesset Bay	221	664	0	0%	
Shoestring Bay	4,115	7,194	1,829	44%	
Three Bays Watershed					
Cotuit Bay	7,683	8,153	0	0%	
North Bay	9,064	1,631	7,445	82%	
Princes Cove	3,935	792	3,205	81%	
Princes Cove Channel	2,088	281	1,807	87%	
Seapuit River	969	1,375	0	0%	
Warrens Cove	8,666	7,582	2,518	29%	
West Bay	5,460	5,829	0	0%	
Rushy Marsh Pond Watershed					
Rushy Marsh Pond	78	34	44	56%	
Parkers River Watershed					
Upper Parkers River	20	3,061	11	55%	

\* = Assumed

CCC Table

 20
 3,061
 11
 55%

 Town of Barnstable, Department of Public Works



## The Plan Needs...



- Multiple solutions working together
  - Title V Systems
  - Traditional Solutions (sewers)
  - Non Traditional Solutions (aquaculture, PRBs, dredging, alternative toilets, etc.)
  - Management Controls (zoning, local regulations)
- Leverage Adaptive management – Phase Solutions



# **Two Macro Approaches**

- Source Reduction
  - Management Controls (Zoning)
  - Alternative toilets
  - Fertilizer Reduction Ordinance
  - Collect and Treat
    - Collect Wastewater
    - Convey Wastewater
    - Treat Wastewater
    - Dispose of wastewater
- In-situ Treatment
  - Address N within the environment





"On which properties is a traditional (Title V) on-site wastewater system an adequate means of providing for the Town's sanitation and environmental protection, and on which properties is it not?"





# Nontraditional Solutions





# TEAM

- James Crocker, Town Councilor, Precinct 5
- Dr. Brian Howes, School of Marine Science and Technology, U.Mass. Dartmouth
- Zenas Crocker, Executive Director, Three Bays Preservation, Inc.
- Scott Horsley, Water Resources Consultant
- Dan Santos, Director, Barnstable DPW
- Rob Steen, Assistant Director, Barnstable DPW



### Focus Area – Three Bays









Four major components to help remove nitrogen using nontraditional methods.

- Mill Pond
- Abandoned freshwater cranberry bogs
- Warren's Cove
- Stormwater collection and disposal along the river



## Mill Pond



- The Issue:
  - Mill Pond is full of silt and debris 9 feet thick in places
  - In 20 years nitrogen removal capacity has declined from 20% to 10%
  - Healthy ponds = 30% to 50%
  - If 50% restored, estimated remove over 2,200 kg/year of additional nitrogen

#### • The Solution:

- Dredge to its original depths (sand layer) and perimeter
- Estimated 60,000 CYs of material (to be confirmed)
- Pond depths restored to approximately 8 feet in the deepest areas



- Organic Sediments Thickness
- Water Depth
- Water Surface



### **Abandon Bogs**



- The Issue:
  - Potential locations for freshwater nontraditional solutions including floating wetlands.
- The Solution:
  - 208 plan estimated that floating wetlands can remove 8-15% of the nitrogen they encounter.







## Warrens Cove



- The Issue:
  - Warrens Cove has silted in, currently not appropriate for aquaculture.
  - Has potential to be an ideal spot to serve as a nursery for aquaculture farms
  - The product could then be relocated to aquaculture farms in the lower bays
- The Solution:
  - Dredging Warrens Cove back to a sandy bottom
  - Establish aquaculture nurseries
  - The Cape Cod Commission has estimated that aquaculture beds/floating racks can remove 8-15% of the nitrogen they encounter





#### Stormwater



- The Issue:
  - Stormwater systems are in various states of repair
- The Solution:
  - A comprehensive survey identifying those that need repair, or replacement.
  - Identify new systems/BMP needed to protect water quality
  - Credit for work already done
    - Cotuit Town Dock, etc.







# Other Nontraditional Opportunities



- PRBs
  - EPA Demonstration Project
    - Prince Cove Area
- Alternative Toilets
  - Prince Cove
  - Cape Cod Academy







# **Traditional Solutions**



# **Traditional Solutions**



## TEAM

- Lindsey Counsell, WRAC Chair
- Brian Dudley, DEP
- Amanda Ruggiero, Assistant Town Engineer
- James Benoit, GIS Manager
- Andy Boule, Division Supervisor Water Pollution Control Division
- Dr. Dale Saad, Senior Project Manager
- Casey Scrima, Intern for Wastewater Affairs
- Dan Santos, Director
- Rob Steen, Assistant Director











#### **Centerville River**







#### **Three Bays - Lower**







#### Three Bays - Upper







#### **Popponessent Bay**







#### **Barnstable Harbor**







#### **Total View**









- Credit for the fertilizer control regulations
- Relocation of public water supply
  - Better protected sites
  - Eliminates difficult Zone IIs
  - Reuse of the current well sites
- Zoning opportunities
  - Potential infill control
  - New growth control
  - Types of growth control



# WPCF Capacity



- Existing treatment limit ~ 4.2 MGD
- Onsite disposal limit ~ 3.0 MGD
- Biowin modeling
- More in-depth disposal study

Component	Flow Conditions	Capacity (MGD)	
Parshall Flumes	Minimum Flow	0.6	
	Peak Hour	15.6	
Aerated Grit Chamber	Peak Hour	20.0	
Primary Clarifiers	Maximum Month	6.8	
	Peak Hour	17.0	
Aeration Tanks	Maximum Month	4.2	
Secondary Clarifiers	Maximum Month	4.4	
	Maximum Day	4.7	
	Peak Hour	7.1	
Chlorination Facilities	Peak Hour	13.8	
Sand Infiltration Beds	Maximum Month	6.0	



## **WPCF Existing Flows**



Flow Component	Sewage (MGD)	Septage (MGD)	Total (MGD)	Time of Occurance
Average Daily Flow	1.54	0.03	1.57	March 1 2012 - Feb 28 2017
Maximum Daily Flow	2.20	0.12	2.32	July 4, 2014
Minimum Daily Flow	0.88	0.00	0.88	January 24 2015
Peak Hour	4.92	N/A	4.92	July 1, 2015
Maximum Month	1.97	0.05	2.02	July 20 - Aug 18 2012
Minimum Month	1.24	0.01	1.25	Jan 18 - Feb 17 2015

- Therefore ~ 1-2 MGD of treatment capacity
- ~ 1 MGD of disposal capacity
- Some of this is already spoken for





# Phasing



## **Phasing Plan**



- Three 20-Year Phases
  - Phase I Years 0-20
  - Phase 2 Years 20-40
  - Year 3 Years 40 -60



#### **Phasing Plan**







### **Phase Statistics**



Item	Phase 1 (0-20 Years)	Phase 2 (20-40 Years)	Phase 3 (40-60 Years)	Total
WW Captured (GPD)	637,000	740,000	326,000	1,703,000
Load N Removed (kg/year)	21,400	26,700	11,800	59,900
Number of Parcels Affected	3,176	3,781	1,925	8,882
Road Miles	62	66	38	166
% N Removed	41%	30%	29%	100%

- Very conservative No credit for nontraditional solutions
  - Installed in Phase I
  - Monitored throughout Phase I and II
  - Ideally will enable avoidance of Phase III via Adaptive Management





# Costs



# **Cost Estimate Assumptions**



- Assumptions
  - One pump station for every 2 miles sewers
  - One mile FM for every pump station
  - Average pipe size is 10 inch diameter
  - Gravity Service to ROW = 1,060
  - Minimal bridge crossing
  - Four foot diameter SMH every 300 feet, age depth 6 feet
  - No Storm Drain as part of this project
  - 10 test pits per mile (~1 every 500 feet)
  - Pave full width, 30 foot width assumed, 1.5 inch top coat, 2.5 inch binder
  - 1,000 feet of waterline per mile needs to be disturbed
  - Five foot sidewalk reconstructed, 1 side, 1/3 of mile
  - Curb reset or replaced for 1/4 of the mile, both sides = 2,640 ft curbing per mile
  - Package Pump Station "neighborhood" sized
  - \$25,000 traffic control allowance
  - \$15,000 electrical allowance
  - 5% construction contingency
  - 20% technical services
  - 10% land acquisition
- Results \$2.7M/mile
- Cost for plant upgrade assumed at 30% collection system costs





- Very rough, planning level cost estimate
- Predicated on a large number of assumptions
- Nontraditional Solution costs not included

Item	Phase 1 (0-20 Years)	Phase 2 (20-40 Years)	Phase 3 (40-60 Years)	Total
Road Miles	62	66	38	
Cost per Mile	\$2,700,000	\$2,700,000	\$2,700,000	
Collection System Costs (\$)	\$167,400,000	\$178,200,000	\$102,600,000	
Assumed WPCF Cost %	0.00%	30.00%	30.00%	
Assumed WPCF Cost (\$)	\$0	\$53,460,000	\$30,780,000	
Total Cost:	\$167,400,000	\$231,660,000	\$133,380,000	\$532,440,000

All costs in 2017 dollars



## **Next Steps**



- June August,
  - WRAC reviews
    - Technical Solutions
    - Phasing
  - Financial Subcommittee
    - Craft the financial plan
  - DPW
    - Submit draft plan to MEP for modeling and confirmation of removals
    - Continue to develop the Nontraditional Solutions and costs
    - Start to write background document
- September, WRAC approves the plan
- October November,
  - WRAC, develop public outreach plan
  - DPW
    - Update modeling if required
    - Start discussions with regulatory agencies
    - Continue background document
    - Begin preliminary design efforts
- December presentation to Town Council (workshop?)
- January August 2018 Public Outreach
- Fall 2018 Present "Final Draft" Plan to Town Council
- Winter 2018 Submit Final Draft to CCC and Regulatory Agencies



## **Special Thanks**



- Amanda Ruggiero, Assistant Town Engineer
- James Benoit, GIS Manager
- Casey Scrima, Intern for Wastewater Affairs



#### **Discussion?**



