

MINUTES

**Barnstable Historical Commission
Town Hall
367 Main Street, Hyannis
Selectmen's Conference Room
February 21, 2017**

Laurie Young, Chair	ABSENT
Nancy Clark, Vice Chair	ABSENT
Marilyn Fifield, Clerk	PRESENT
Nancy Shoemaker–Acting Chair	PRESENT
George Jessop	PRESENT
Ted Wurzburg	PRESENT
Elizabeth Mumford	PRESENT
Stacey Hurwitz	Admin Assistant, GMD

Acting Chair, Nancy Shoemaker called the meeting to order at 4:01 pm

Acting under the provisions of the Code of the Town of Barnstable, ss 112-1 through 112-7, the Historical Commission held a Public Hearing on the following applications previously determined significant and referred to Public Hearing:

Continued Applications:

Wenger, Robert & Bonnie, 523 Main Street, Centerville, Map 207, Parcel 049

Built c.1855-1875, Inventoried, Contributing Building National Register District

Partial Demolition of Single Family Dwelling for Addition.

Continued from December 20, 2016 and January 17, 2017

Represented by Bob Wenger and Dan Lewis Architect - Elevation hand out (Exhibit B)

Wenger: We met with Sarah Korjeff, she wanted to save the back eave detail & cornerboards. We chipped it down to save those details. Shoemaker reads the email from Sarah Korjeff of the Cape Cod Commission into record (Exhibit C). Lewis: We brought addition back so the original portion of the house is not touched at all. Also brought roof line down a little bit to make it a little subservient to the house. Same detailing as the house, brought the eaves down a bit. From the street visually the addition will be subservient to the original. We will be able to hide what is going on behind it. Wenger: We were going to destroy the edge detail but we are maintaining that. Jessop: We like to see all 4 corners of the original house maintained. The proportions of the addition relate very well with the original building.

Motion duly made by George Jessop, seconded by Elizabeth Mumford, that the Barnstable Historical Commission (BHC) finds and determines that, after review and consideration of the file and hearing testimony for 523 Main Street that:

- **The property is outside a local historic district;**
- **The property is a contributing structure in a National Register District**
- **The actions proposed constitute a substantial alteration that would jeopardize the historic structure's status as a contributing structure in a National Register District as defined in § 3 of the Cape Cod Commission Development of Regional Impact Review Threshold;**

The BHC further finds and determines that in exceeding these threshold criteria the project is subject to a mandatory referral to the Cape Cod Commission as a Development of Regional Impact.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Hearing that it is a Nay vote, Motion is duly made by George Jessop, seconded by Ted Wurzburg that the Barnstable Historical Commission find, after review and consideration of public testimony, the application, and record file for the partial demolition proposed at 523 Main Street, Centerville, this Significant Building is a Preferably Preserved Significant Building.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Hearing that it is a Nay vote, Motion is duly made by George Jessop and seconded by Ted Wurzburg in accordance with Section 112-3 F the BHC determines that the partial demolition of the Building located at 523 Main Street, Centerville is not detrimental to the historical, cultural, and architectural heritage or resources of the Town.

An AYE vote determines that the partial demolition IS NOT detrimental – no demolition delay imposed

Vote: Aye: All – So Voted Unanimously

Nay: None

Applications:

Kuncaitis, Arunas & Denise, 253 Fuller Road, Centerville, Map 189, Parcel 071

Built 1940. Not inventoried, Not in a National Register Historic District.

Construct two (2)-story addition and bump out garage gable: 4' x 22'. Demolition of existing screen porch.

Represented by Scott Peacock

The owners want to retire to the cape and need a bigger house. The only place we can put it is off the back. Shoemaker clarifies the wall being demolished is technically historical. We are demolishing the screen porch. Extending the garage forward towards the street. Clarification: also demolishing the front of the garage and moving it forward 4 feet so a car can fit in. The garage was made into living space and now needs to fit a car. Jessop: Why not drop the wall 5 feet on the second floor and dormer it? How would that affect your floor plan? Peacock: It is only a 22 x20 it's not a huge addition, trying to get as much out of it as we can. Jessop: If you drop the wall plate on that wall back 2 ft. If you don't make some step design it's a 'builder's addition'. Drop the ridge and shallow it a little bit. Peacock: They have 2 kids – just to get everyone in they are trying to maximize the space in there. We are not changing the garage we are trying to keep the peaks and match it. I'm afraid they will lose the head room if we change it. When you are on the street it's kind of hidden by the front garage. They are trying to keep the two peaks the same (not a shallower pitch). Jessop: What if you repeated what you have on the front of the garage? Peacock: We could run a gutter across the front it would soften it a bit.

Jessop agrees with the false roof/gutter on the front to soften the two story gable. And get a nice looking louver above.

Motion duly made by George Jessop and seconded by Elizabeth Mumford that the Barnstable Historical Commission find after review and consideration of public testimony, application, and record file that the Significant Building at 253 Fuller Road, Centerville is a Preferably Preserved Significant Building.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Seeing that it is a Nay vote. A motion is duly made by George Jessop and seconded by Ted Wurzburg in accordance with Section 112-3 F, the BHC determines that the partial demolition of the Building located at 253 Fuller Road, Centerville is not detrimental to the historical, cultural, and architectural heritage or resources of the Town further that the new construction be revised in its design to reflect the proposed elevation treatment of the garage, mainly that the first rafter be stepped back one rafter to affect a hip for the first bay, hence a gable and beginning at the second rafter. The pitch and the elevation other wise to remain the same.

An AYE vote determines that the partial demolition IS NOT detrimental – no demolition delay imposed

Vote: Aye: All – So Voted Unanimously

Nay: None

Garceau, Richard and Nortz , Thomas, 539 Main Street, Centerville, Map 207, Parcel 050

Built 1835, Contributing Building in a National Register Historic District.

Replace three (3) kitchen windows, add 36" x 9' lite door to match entrance door. Add skylight on flat roof.

Represented by Richard Garceau, Thomas Nortz, Joshua Spate

3 kitchen windows and matching door. Name corrected on agenda to Thomas Nortz.

Spate: Small renovation. Exterior door and skylight window on driveway side of the property.

Shoemaker commends the applicants for submitting the historical information with the application. Commission reviews the photos. Commission asks about the elevation. The elevation is not submitted. Shoemaker: You are changing nothing from the street? Spate: We are just replacing the two windows with same like & kind. Replacement windows are Anderson and they are wood with fixed exterior grilles, 6 over 6 (same as what is there now).

Jessop clarifies the door materials and style. Public: None

Motion duly made by George Jessop and seconded by Ted Wurzburg that the BHC finds and determines that, after review and consideration of the file and hearing testimony for 539 Main Street, Centerville that:

- **The property is outside a local historic district;**
- **The property is a contributing structure in a National Register District and is individually eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;**
- **The actions proposed constitute a substantial alteration that would jeopardize the historic structure's status as a contributing structure in a National Register District as defined in § 3 of the Cape Cod Commission Development of Regional Impact Review Threshold;**

The BHC further finds and determines that in exceeding these threshold criteria the project is subject to a mandatory referral to the Cape Cod Commission as a Development of Regional Impact.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Motion duly made by George Jessop and seconded by Ted Wurzburg that the Barnstable Historical Commission find after review and consideration of public testimony, application, and record file that the Significant Building at 539 Main Street, Centerville is a Preferably Preserved Significant Building.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Motion duly made by George Jessop, seconded by Elizabeth Mumford in accordance with Section 112-3 F, the BHC determines that the partial demolition of the Building located at 539 Main Street, Centerville **is not** detrimental to the historical, cultural, and architectural heritage or resources of the Town.

Vote: Aye: All – So Voted Unanimously

Nay: None

Pozen, Daniel and Heather, 960 Main St Cotuit, MA, Map 035 Parcel 095. Built in 1890. Contributing Building in the Cotuit National Register Historic District.

Relocate front door and replace existing deck with screen porch, new windows, new sidewall, new roof. Interior complete refinish due to fire damage.

Represented by William Picardi

Picardi: Existing structure doesn't really resemble anything of the original. The inside had smoke damage (basement). The insurance company has ordered it gutted; replace roofing, windows and siding. We were able to find original structural photos. In the process we have gutted the inside. There are 11 foot ceilings on the first floor interior, second floor was loft storage. It is heavy duty construction, the timbers were very hard to match (3 inch thick flooring). We proposed to try bring it back to some of the original condition by changing the front of the building; getting rid of the colonial entrance and moving the entrance to the side where the farmers porch is. Changing to Anderson s.d.l. windows with exterior/interior grilles, grille pattern over single glass. Historic trills and heavy duty historic sills (Azek). Double hung, double hung on both sides and the front. We are doing a woven corner (change from corner board corner). Replacing roof with asphalt shingles. Proposing a Cupola to try to get the barn look back and light over the center staircase (in the Cupola). Fake barn door on the front, disguising the transom windows. Evergreens in the front are all being replaced. Jessop: Would like to see a ramp with planks across the runner. Picardi replies, Yes, to the ramp. Proposing to make the deck a screened in porch, old style screened in porch with cedar frame and big open screens

and a wood ceiling. Not changing the footprint of the house at all. The separation between the screened in porch and the house is a 24 foot sliding glass wall. 9 ft. high ceilings on the first floor and the upstairs will be bedroom with a 6ft. high knee wall upstairs. Jessop: Asks about the flooring in the 3 season room. Picardi: tongue in groove cedar and pressure treated, Run it under the screening (for run off). Color: No maintenance grey. Public Comment: None

Motion duly made by Ted Wurzburg and seconded by Elizabeth Mumford that the BHC finds and determines that, after review and consideration of the file and hearing testimony for 960 Main Street, Cotuit that:

- The property is outside a local historic district;
- The property is a contributing structure in a National Register District and is individually eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;
- The actions proposed constitute a substantial alteration that would jeopardize the historic structure's status as a contributing structure in a National Register District as defined in § 3 of the Cape Cod Commission Development of Regional Impact Review Threshold;

The BHC further finds and determines that in exceeding these threshold criteria the project is subject to a mandatory referral to the Cape Cod Commission as a Development of Regional Impact.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Motion duly made by Ted Wurzburg and seconded by George Jessop that the Barnstable Historical Commission find after review and consideration of public testimony, application, and record file that the Significant Building at 960 Main Street, Cotuit is a Preferably Preserved Significant Building.

Vote: Aya: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Motion duly made by Ted Wurzburg and seconded by Elizabeth Mumford that, in accordance with Section 112-3 F, the BHC determines that the partial demolition of the Building located at 960 Main Street, Cotuit is not detrimental to the historical, cultural, and architectural heritage or resources of the Town.

Vote: Aye: All – So Voted Unanimously

Nay: None

CAJ, Inc., 136 Seapuit River Road, Osterville, MA, Map 070 Parcel 003. Built in 1929. Not inventoried, Not in a National Register Historic District.

Demolish existing dwelling and construct new 4,000 Square foot Single family dwelling with detached 2 car garage. Represented by Paul Everson

Everson states house was built in 1929 and used as a cottage in recent years. It is roughly 4,000 sq. feet. It has suffered damage to the wiring by rodents and squirrels have taken up residence in the house. It's a seasonal dwelling. Shoemaker asks for clarification on the basement. Everson replies it has a 10x15 real basement with mechanicals in it, it has a dirt floor. Several dozen chipmunks moved into the walls two years ago. The determination by the general contractor is the cost to restore is significantly more than to rebuild. It is structurally inept. The floors have sagged in. It is a block foundation and those are starting to move in and settle. Curley: The Main inadequate source to repair it and bring it up to code and to bring up the kitchen to code and update it and tying it into the original structure is very difficult. 3998 sq. foot existing. We are looking to replace with a similar size. Everson: It sits on an acre and a half of land. Jessop: It is very ordinary Garrison Colonial. I'd say the foyer added onto the front is not terribly efficient. Curley states the original was about 2500 sq. feet there have been additions off the back left side. Shoemaker: We hate to see houses completely demolished. It is in keeping with the community. Fifield: It seems to me some of those things could be repaired (and not need to be demolished). Mumford: I am really having a hard time with the new plans because it is so different from the old plans. It is really looks different. Curley: The new plans will need to be revised because the owners will need to get ahead of the planning – to wait that significant amount of time, it's 2 more years before they can start construction. So the plans are in the preliminary stages. The Commission expresses concern that they won't even know what it is going to look like. Mumford: Gambrel entrance, it's kind of a hodge podge. Jessop has seen at Old King's Highway Historic Committee where there is a kid with a drafting kit & a computer and he has 16 different kinds of architecture in one

structure. It's like the owners like the features so the architects tried to put all the features in there. A true gambrel and you take advantage of the dormer condition (shed dormer off of the top pitch) and create a French style dormer for the lower pitch, is a much nicer design and much cheaper. Curley: The same owner is across the street with a very traditional gambrel style. I can't speak to every reiteration of the design at this point. Jessop: If you look at the house across the street you will get a much lower pitch. If you have a gambrel you are not losing any space inside. You have an opportunity to revise this to a much more traditional gambrel. Shoemaker: It's hard for us to approve this. We do not approve this plan. We might decide on a demolition delay so the community knows what the plans are exactly. Jessop: You can use the time to firm up the plans. Shoemaker: There is another possibility you could postpone, take it back and wait for a continuance until next month. Appease the community and have plans that are more definitive. Curley states the house is going to come down one way or another. Jessop: States the advertising is minimal. This is one of those venues where it is required to be advertised. Curley: We'd be happy to continue it for one month to give us a chance to tweak the plans.

Shoemaker requests that the square footage (of both the existing and proposed house) be on the new plans going forward.

Motion duly made by George Jessop and seconded by Ted Wurzburg that the BHC accept a continuance for 136 Seapuit River Rd., Osterville, MA built in 1929, Map 070 Parcel 003 as submitted by CAJ Inc. to the March 21, 2017 meeting.

Vote: Aye: All – So Voted Unanimously

Nay: None

Burns, Sarah and Stuart, 75 Park Avenue, Centerville, MA, Map 208, Parcel 007. Built in 1920. Inventoried. Not in a National Register Historic District.

Remove rear entry from dwelling and rebuild same footprint. Replace windows on first floor front of dwelling.

Represented by Sarah Burns

This was my grandparents home and we bought it in July from grandmother. Most of the updating is inside; plumbing and electrical. Proposing to replace the existing front windows (on the ground floor) and one on the side, dining room window and then one going back into the back kitchen. Replacing in like and kind, with 6 over 6 windows. The back rear entry way is falling off the back of the house, the floor is sinking in. It will be the same exact footprint. You can't see it from the road. The Commission believes the proposed rear entry is much better. The windows are clarified to be in specification, Anderson tilt wash 24 x 32 for the shorter window and 24 x 36 for the larger window. They have fixed exterior and interior grilles in window, in white. True divided light window. Public: None

Motion duly made by Elizabeth Mumford and seconded by George Jessop that the Barnstable Historical Commission find after review and consideration of public testimony, application, and record file that the Significant Building at 75 Park Avenue, Centerville is a Preferably Preserved Significant Building.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: All – So Voted Unanimously

Motion duly made by Elizabeth Mumford and seconded by Ted Wurzburg move that, in accordance with Section 112-3 F, the BHC determines that the partial demolition of the Building located at 75 Park Avenue, Centerville is not detrimental to the historical, cultural, and architectural heritage or resources of the Town.

Vote: Aye: All – So Voted Unanimously

Nay: None

Grenier, Mark, 62 Wachusett Avenue, Hyannisport, MA, Map 287 Parcel 081. Built in 1888. Inventoried, Contributing Building Hyannisport National Register Historic District

Reconstruct tower, add bases to lower section of front and side porch columns. Move second floor windows on south side closer together. Move front entry door one foot to the left.

Represented by Mark Grenier

Grenier: Originally came in front of the board last year, received approvals to construct a hexagon tower on the southeast corner. He attempted to sell the property this summer, he didn't get a lot of feedback on structure. Since that time he spent a lot of time at the property and realized it is not the appropriate design for that location. He found one that he thinks is a better design, what he feels is an appropriate structure for that location. Jessop: The adjacent building doesn't have anything on the corner does it? Grenier: It does, see the hip on the corner? It's part of her hexagon on the corner. One of the things about the hexagon tower there is a hip on the right side that would meet the tower, it didn't meet the tower in the center. The new design does. I reduced the size of the tower in consideration of my neighbor (shrank it by a foot on each side). Reducing the scale helps

Ellie's view and It fits better. The Commission reviews R1 (last page) for the plans. It's tucked more into the house. It gives the main gable prominence. Keeping all original trim the same. Mumford: Did you get permission to put the second story tower on before? From BHC or CCC? And if so why was that permission given? Grenier: Yes, approval was given by both (BHC & CCC). When I came before the Board last January, the changes were a much more destructive. Sarah agreed the tower was appropriate. She didn't like the roof walk and the double gable got shot down. I worked with Sarah at that time. The approved changes were reviewed for the Commission. The front elevation which is the critical elevation, that half wall was part of the original design. Mumford: I'm talking about the tower, there wasn't anything (tower) there in the beginning. Grenier: I'm coming in front of the board for a redesign for the tower, which is more appropriate. j

There is clarification of the approved plans. The BHC referred Grenier to the CCC for assistance and then came back in front of the BHC.

Mumford: Asks why the tower was approved to begin with. The BHC suggests Mumford ask Sarah Korjeff at the CCC about the initial approval. Grenier: Changes were submitted to Anna on 2/8/2017.

Amendments on the Application which were submitted on 2/8/2017 were read into record by Shoemaker.

Shoemaker remarks that this tower is better than the original one.

Ellie Mayfield (neighbor) Was given a copy of the amendments dated 2/8/17. Mayfield Asked about the doors and window changes that were submitted. I don't remember there ever being a tower there.

Shoemaker: That was determined last year with the Cape Cod Commission and then the BHC went with the recommendation from the CCC and approved it last year. The tower was a 6 sided tower now it is a four sided tower and it is smaller.

Mayfield asks about the front door. The main door will stay as it is? Grenier answers, yes. Grenier clarifies that the front door is staying – he is knocking down the half wall. The door on the side (was approved in 2016) Grenier states that he may or may not put that in. Grenier does not know what the end use is going to be at the property.

Shoemaker clarifies that what has already been approved by the Cape Cod Commission review and then approved by the Barnstable Historical Commission last year (2016). The group reviews the plans.

Jessop: There is more than one example of the squared off corner in town. As I recall when this house was first discussed, it was questioned if the original house was completed with it's intended design. Jessop researched and found a San Francisco style, where they squared the corner off and turned it. I did see something similar to that nearby, maybe in Santuit. The hexagonal tower is iconic in Hyannisport (next street over has four of them). The fact there is a square base I thought it was odd there wasn't a tower instead of just part of the living room. Grenier: I don't want the tower built if it wasn't right. He discussed the tower/ change with Sarah Korjeff. Maybe it was supposed to come up. They are right in that corner if you look up - two pencil sketches (faces on each side - maybe builders, also found signatures). Grenier presents the pictures of the faces and discusses the original builder L. Frank Pane.)

Shoemaker clarifies the base bump out. Mumford: Wasn't here for the votes in the past. She believes that if they wanted a tower at the house originally, they would have done so. For the record Mumford is not for the tower at all, as a latecomer to this, she is not happy about this look.

Wurzburg: We have already approved this as a partial demolition. He asks if this should even be in front of the BHC.

Grenier: I can't make changes to a plan that has gone in front of Historic and already been approved. Shoemaker: I imagine the demolition will be slightly different. We can only approve demolitions. We do not approve construction.

The BHC discusses the appropriateness of this application coming before them again, with the changes

Shoemaker: Slightly smaller; it has four sides instead of six. Jessop: for all intents and purposes the amount of material from the existing structure that is being removed is the same. Shoemaker: We have a choice we can deny this application and he can build the 6 sided tower or we can approve it and he can build a four sided tower. Fifield raises concerns about Sarah Korjeff / the Commission seeing these changes. Grenier: States that Sarah Korjeff has already seen the plans, met with Sarah and she liked this new design much better than the first one.

Both Fifield & Wurzburg state it would be nice to have a letter from Sarah Korjeff regarding the revised tower plans.

Shoemaker reviews the changes that were approved in 2016. She states those changes will happen or this lesser, smaller tower will happen; those are our choices. Jessop: if we are not changing the previous order for the demolition, what are we voting on? Wurzburg: It looks like there is a change in demolition of the front wall (because of revision). Shoemaker: There is a change in plans, so a slight change in demolition? It's minor. I don't think we can do anything but vote one way or the other. Fifield: In our motion we are also looking at whether the alterations constitute a substantial alteration that would jeopardize its status as a Contributing Structure on the National Register of History, so Part of our review is not just looking at a demolition but if the alteration is consistent. Shoemaker: We have the ghost behind us of the one that he can go do tomorrow. Fifield: We not considering just the extent of the demolition are also considering what is replacing the demolition and is that appropriate to the contributing structure. Wurzburg: The only place we can consider that is in motion one to refer it back to the Cape Cod Commission. Shoemaker: And if we refer it back he can just withdraw and do what is already been approved. Fifield stated it would have been nice to get the approval in writing from Sarah. Grenier: That is what I told Sarah, that the plans would be coming to her and apparently that is what Marylou used to do, but that didn't happen. She is on board with this. I can just withdraw it. I am here to try to correct something that is more appropriate.

Motion duly made by Ted Wurzburg and seconded by George Jessop that the Barnstable Historical Commission finds and determines that, after review and consideration of the file and hearing testimony for 62 Wachusett Avenue, Hyannis that:

- **The property is outside a local historic district;**
- **The property is a contributing structure in a National Register District and is individually eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;**
- **The actions proposed constitute a substantial alteration that would jeopardize the historic structure's status as a contributing structure in a National Register District as defined in § 3 of the Cape Cod Commission Development of Regional Impact Review Threshold;**

The BHC further finds and determines that in exceeding these threshold criteria the project is subject to a mandatory referral to the Cape Cod Commission as a Development of Regional Impact.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: Wurzburg, Fifield, Jessop, Shoemaker

Abstain: Mumford

Motion duly made by Ted Wurzburg and seconded by George Jessop, that the Barnstable Historical Commission find after review and consideration of public testimony, application, and record file for partial demolition proposed at 62 Wachusett Avenue, Hyannis, the Significant Building is a Preferably Preserved Significant Building.

Vote: Aye: None

Nay: Wurzburg, Fifield, Jessop, Shoemaker

Abstain: Mumford

Motion duly made by Ted Wurzburg and seconded by George Jessop that in accordance with Section 112-3 F. the BHC determines that the partial demolition of the Building located at 62 Wachusett Avenue, Hyannis is not detrimental to the historical, cultural, and architectural heritage or resources of the Town.

Vote: Aye: Shoemaker, Jessop, Wurzburg, Fifield

Nay: None

Abstain: Mumford

Knight, Ron, 454 Main Street, Centerville, MA, Map 208 Parcel 132. Built 1857. Inventoried. Contributing Building in a National Register Historic District.

Remove 2 windows on the third floor gables, remove one window on first floor, remove one window on second floor deck. Install 2 new arch top windows in third floor gable ends. Install a new full view door in place of the two other removed windows. Represented by Mike Squire, Gable Building

Shoemaker: William Lloyd Garrison actually visited this house. Squire: They want to replace windows. Two on the upper floor gables and replace with a window with a round arch. One location is the lower level of an addition that was done in the 1990's. Door to replace a window on the second floor so they can go out onto the deck. Two arched windows put in place except the

door to replace the window that is there now. We are asking for four things; 2 doors and 2 windows. Replacement windows are in like & kind with what is existing. No longer storm panels on them (6/6). Jessop: Window is inside the existing casing. Shoemaker: It is a very historic house. I don't see a problem with what you are doing. Mumford has done painting at this house and what they are doing is great. The house is really well taken care of. Squire: The 2 windows on the third floor that are being changed. There is wavy glass, I wish someone would come and take it. The Commission discusses wavy glass. Jessop asks about arch #2. It is clarified by Squire that it will be one continuous upper sash. Jessop is fine with that. Also asks if the arch top window will have shutters, which adds a lot to the look. Squire confirms there will be shutters.

Motion duly made by Elizabeth Mumford & seconded by Ted Wurzburg, that the Barnstable Historical Commission finds and determines that, after review and consideration of the file and hearing testimony for 454 Main Street, Centerville that:

- **The property is outside a local historic district;**
- **The property is a contributing structure in a National Register District and is individually eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;**
- **The actions proposed constitute a substantial alteration that would jeopardize the historic structure's status as a contributing structure in a National Register District as defined in § 3 of the Cape Cod Commission Development of Regional Impact Review Threshold;**

The BHC further finds and determines that in exceeding these threshold criteria the project is subject to a mandatory referral to the Cape Cod Commission as a Development of Regional Impact.

Vote: Aye: Fifield

Nay: Jessop, Wurzburg, Mumford, Shoemaker

Motion duly made by Elizabeth Mumford and seconded by Ted Wurzburg, that the Barnstable Historical Commission find after review and consideration of public testimony, application, and record file that the Significant Building at 454 Main Street, Centerville is a Preferably Preserved Significant Building.

Vote: Aye: Fifield

Nay: Shoemaker, Jessop, Wurzburg, Mumford

Motion duly made by Elizabeth Mumford and seconded by Ted Wurzburg, that in accordance with Section 112-3 F, the BHC determines that the partial demolition of the Building located at 454 Main Street, Centerville is not detrimental to the historical, cultural, and architectural heritage or resources of the Town.

Vote: Aye: Wurzburg, Jessop, Mumford, Shoemaker

Nay: Fifield

Correspondence and Approval of Minutes tabled to the March 21, 2017 BHC Meeting:

- Announcement of Round 23 of Massachusetts Preservation Projects Fun (MPPF) grant program
- Letter from Sarah Korjeff, Preservation Specialist with the Cape Cod Commission, regarding 554 Wianno Avenue, Osterville
- Notice from MHC 1/31/2017, Re: Baxter Grist Mill Dam Rehabilitation, West Yarmouth
- Minutes from December 20, 2016

Other Business:

- The group would like an e-packet sent out every month.
- Notes from the January meeting amended
- Tales of Cape Cod: Jessop states they are looking at Preservation Grants. They are interested in Community Preservation Committee funding too. Fifield states they can also apply for a state grant.

With no further business before this Commission, a motion was duly made by Ted Wurzburg , seconded by Elizabeth Mumford, to adjourn the meeting at 6:15 pm. So Voted Unanimously.

Respectfully Submitted,
Stacey Hurwitz, Recording Secretary

Exhibit A Agenda dated February 21, 2016

Exhibit B Revised Plans Submitted for 523 Main Street, Centerville 207/049

Exhibit C Sarah Korjeff Email regarding 523 Main Street, Centerville

DRAFT